

**MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
TITLE V OPERATING PERMIT TECHNICAL REVIEW DOCUMENT**

**Permitting and Compliance Division
1520 E. Sixth Avenue
P.O. Box 200901
Helena, Montana 59620-0901**

**ConocoPhillips Company
Bozeman Product Terminal
318 West Griffin Drive
NW¼, Section 6, Township 2 South, Range 6 East
Bozeman, Gallatin County, Montana**

The following table summarizes the air quality programs testing, monitoring, and reporting requirements applicable to this facility.

Facility Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	Comments
Source Tests Required	X		Method 9 as required by the Department
Ambient Monitoring Required		X	
COMS Required		X	
CEMS Required		X	
Schedule of Compliance Required		X	
Annual Compliance Certification and Semiannual Reporting Required	X		As applicable
Monthly Reporting Required	X		As applicable
Quarterly Reporting Required		X	
Applicable Air Quality Programs			
ARM Subchapter 7 Montana Air Quality Permit	X		#2945-03
New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)		X	
National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS)		X	
Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT)		X	Requested limits to stay out of 40 CFR 63, Subpart R
Major New Source Review (NSR)		X	
Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)		X	
Risk Management Plan Required (RMP)		X	
Acid Rain Title IV		X	
State Implementation Plan (SIP)	X		General SIP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	GENERAL INFORMATION	3
A.	Purpose.....	3
B.	Facility Location	3
C.	Facility Background Information.....	3
D.	Current Permit Action	4
E.	Takings and Damaging Analysis	4
F.	Compliance Designation	4
II.	SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS	5
A.	Facility Process Description.....	5
B.	Emission Units and Pollution Control Device Identification.....	5
C.	Categorically Insignificant Sources/Activities.....	5
III.	PERMIT TERMS.....	6
A.	Emission Limits and Standards.....	6
B.	Monitoring Requirements	6
C.	Test Methods and Procedures	6
D.	Recordkeeping Requirements	6
E.	Reporting Requirements	6
IV.	NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS	7
V.	FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS.....	8
A.	MACT Standards	8
B.	NESHAP Standards	8
C.	NSPS Standards	8
D.	Risk Management Plan	8

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Purpose

This document establishes the basis for the decisions made regarding the applicable requirements, monitoring plan, and compliance status of emission units affected by the operating permit proposed for this facility. The document is intended for reference during review of the proposed permit by the EPA and the public. It is also intended to provide background information not included in the operating permit and to document issues that may become important during modifications or renewals of the operating permit. Conclusions in this document are based on information provided in the amendments received by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) on March 30, 2006 and March 4, 2004, the renewal operating permit application submitted by ConocoPhillips Company (ConocoPhillips) and received by the Department on February 27, 2003, as well as the original operating permit application received on June 10, 1996.

B. Facility Location

ConocoPhillips owns and operates the Bozeman Product Terminal located in the NW¼ of Section 6, Township 2 South, Range 6 East, in Gallatin County, Montana. Gallatin County is designated as an Unclassifiable/Attainment area for National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for all criteria pollutants. The Bozeman Product Terminal is located just north of the city of Bozeman; West Griffin Drive on the north, Exxon product terminal on the east, a cultivated field on the south, and an industrial park on the west bound the product terminal. The surrounding vicinity is mainly industrial. A trailer park and a few residential sites are located northeast of the terminal. The East Gallatin Recreational Area is located approximately 1/4 mile northeast of the terminal. There are no schools or medical facilities in the immediate vicinity of the terminal.

C. Facility Permitting History

Montana Air Quality Permit

The Bozeman Product Terminal was constructed and operating by 1955. Tanks were installed from 1955 to 1966; therefore, the facility was grand-fathered from the Montana Air Quality permit process. On August 8, 1997, ConocoPhillips submitted an application for the Bozeman Product Terminal to obtain a Montana Air Quality permit containing an operational limit. The operational limit allowed ConocoPhillips to be a synthetic minor from the 40 CFR 63, Subpart R (Gasoline Distribution MACT) requirements. Permit #2945-00 was issued final on September 28, 1997. Permit #2945-01 was issued on March 30, 1998, to clarify an error made in Section II.A.1. of Permit #2945-00. Permit #2945-01 replaced Permit #2945-00.

A letter from ConocoPhillips dated January 3, 2003, and received by the Department, January 10, 2003, notified the Department that Conoco Inc. (Conoco) had changed its name to ConocoPhillips. The permit action contained in #2945-02 changed the name on the permit from Conoco to ConocoPhillips. Permit #2945-02 replaced Permit #2945-01.

A letter from ConocoPhillips dated November 24, 2004, and received by the Department December 1, 2004, notified the Department that ConocoPhillips planned to install a 3,000-gallon vertical tank used to store a lubricity additive. Since the uncontrolled potential to emit (PTE) of the 3,000-gallon vertical tank was less than 15 tons per year of any regulated pollutant the tank was added to the permit under the provisions of ARM 17.8.745 Montana Air Quality Permits--Exclusion for De Minimis Changes. Permit #2945-03 was also updated to reflect current permit language and rule references used by the Department. Permit #2945-03 replaced Permit #2945-02.

Title V Operating Permit

Permit #OP2945-00 was issued final and effective on August 23, 1998. The permit action was a renewal of ConocoPhillips' Title V Operating Permit OP2945-00 for the Bozeman Product Terminal.

ConocoPhillips' Operating Permit OP2945-00 was applicable for 5 years and expired on August 23, 2003. ConocoPhillips applied for a renewal of their Title V Operating Permit on February 27, 2003.

Permit #OP2945-01 included the name change from Conoco to ConocoPhillips as well as updated applicable requirements. Operating Permit OP2945-01 replaced Operating Permit OP2945-00.

On March 4, 2004, the Department received a letter from ConocoPhillips to change the responsible official from Tom Wanzeck to Karen L. Kennedy. **Permit #OP2945-02** replaced Operating Permit OP2945-01.

D. Current Permit Action

On March 30, 2006, the Department received a letter from ConocoPhillips to change the responsible official from Karen L. Kennedy to John T. Barrett. **Permit #OP2945-03** replaces Permit #OP2945-02.

E. Takings and Damaging Analysis

HB 311, the Montana Private Property Assessment Act, requires analysis of every proposed state agency administrative rule, policy, permit condition or permit denial, pertaining to an environmental matter, to determine whether the state action constitutes a taking or damaging of private real property that requires compensation under the Montana or U.S. Constitution. As part of issuing an operating permit, the Department is required to complete a Taking and Damaging Checklist. As required by 2-10-101 through 105, MCA, the Department has conducted a private property taking and damaging assessment and has determined there are no taking or damaging implications. The checklist was completed on March 8, 2004.

F. Compliance Designation

The Department inspected the ConocoPhillips Bozeman Product Terminal on November 16, 2004. The inspection findings and all the material reviewed in the Department's files indicate that the facility is in compliance.

II. SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS

A. Facility Process Description

ConocoPhillips operates a bulk gasoline terminal at the Bozeman Product Terminal. The terminal stores and transfers petroleum products (gasoline and distillate) received from the pipeline and distributes them to regional markets via tank trucks. The Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) for this facility is "Wholesale Distribution" which has an SIC Code of "5171."

B. Emission Units and Pollution Control Device Identification

Currently, the Bozeman Product Terminal operates EU1, a truck loading rack and five tanks. EU2 Tank #10, EU3 Tank #11, and EU6 Tank #15 contain gasoline with internal floating roofs. EU4 Tank #12 and EU5 Tank #13 contain distillate and are equipped with vertical fixed roof. Fugitive emissions include valves, connections, open-ended lines, etc. and are required to be inspected each calendar month.

C. Categorically Insignificant Sources/Activities

The Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.1201(22)(a) defines an insignificant emissions unit as one that emits less than 5 tons per year of any regulated pollutant, has the potential to emit less than 500 pounds per year of lead or any hazardous air pollutant, and is not regulated by an applicable requirement other than a generally applicable requirement.

The miscellaneous emissions from the ConocoPhillips Product Terminal include emissions from tank cleaning, rain drains, additive tanks, etc. These units are insignificant because they emit less than 5 tons per year of any regulated pollutant.

III. PERMIT TERMS

A. Emission Limits and Standards

The ConocoPhillips Bozeman Product Terminal is limited to a maximum of 105,000,000 gallons of gasoline and 105,000,000 gallons of distillate product throughput for the truck loadout operation during any 12-month rolling period. ConocoPhillips is also required to conduct monthly leak checks for the fugitive emissions. Detection methods incorporating sight, sound, or smell are acceptable for the purposes of these inspections. The emission units at this facility have synthetic minored out of the 40 CFR 63, Subpart R Gasoline Distribution MACT requirements. The emission units at the facility are not required to meet any NESHAP or NSPS standards. This facility is not subject to PSD regulations.

B. Monitoring Requirements

ARM 17.8.1212(1) requires that all monitoring and analysis procedures or test methods required by any applicable requirement to be contained in the operating permit. In addition, when the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or monitoring, periodic monitoring must be prescribed that is sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the source's compliance with the permit.

The requirement for testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance certification sufficient to assure compliance does not require the permit to impose the same level of rigor for all emission units. Furthermore, it does not require extensive testing or monitoring to assure compliance with the applicable requirements for emission units that do not have significant potential to violate emission limitations or other requirements under normal operating conditions. When compliance with the underlying applicable requirement for an insignificant emissions unit is not threatened by lack of regular monitoring and when periodic testing or monitoring is not otherwise required by the applicable requirement, the status quo (**i.e., no monitoring**) will meet the requirements of ARM 17.8.1212(1). Therefore, the permit does not include monitoring for insignificant emission units.

The permit includes periodic monitoring or recordkeeping for each applicable requirement. The information obtained from the monitoring and recordkeeping will be used by the permittee to periodically certify compliance with the emission limits and standards. However, the Department may request additional testing to determine compliance with the emission limits and standards.

C. Test Methods and Procedures

The operating permit may not require testing for all sources if routine monitoring is used to monitor compliance, but the Department has the authority to require testing if deemed necessary to monitor compliance with an emission limit or standard. In addition, the permittee may elect to voluntarily conduct compliance testing to confirm its compliance status.

D. Recordkeeping Requirements

The permittee is required to keep all records listed in the operating permit as a permanent business record for at least 5 years following the date of the generation of the record.

E. Reporting Requirements

Reporting requirements are included in the permit for each emissions unit and Section V of the operating permit "General Conditions" explains the reporting requirements. However, the permittee is required to submit semiannual and annual monitoring reports to the Department and to annually certify compliance with the applicable requirements contained in the permit. The reports must include a list of all emission limit and monitoring deviations, the reason for any deviation, and the corrective action taken as a result of any deviation.

IV. NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

Section IV of the operating permit "Non-applicable Requirements" contains the requirements that the Department determined were non-applicable. The following table summarizes the requirements that ConocoPhillips identified as non-applicable and contains the reasons that the Department did not include these requirements as non-applicable in the permit.

Requirements not Identified in the Operating Permit

Applicable Requirement	Reason
ARM 17.8.601 ARM 17.8.602 ARM 17.8.1201(10)(a) ARM 17.8.1201(10)(f) ARM 17.8.1201(10)(i) ARM 17.8.1201(10)(k)	This is either a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definitions, or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.
ARM 17.8.604 ARM 17.8.605 ARM 17.8.606 ARM 17.8.611 ARM 17.8.612 ARM 17.8.613 ARM 17.8.614 ARM 17.8.615	These are procedural rules that have specific requirements that may become relevant to a major source during the permit term.

V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS

A. MACT Standards

As of the date of decision of Operating Permit OP2945-03, ConocoPhillips has an operational limit that keeps them below the requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart R. The Department is unaware of any other future MACT Standards that may be promulgated that will effect this facility.

B. NESHAP Standards

As of the date of decision of Operating Permit OP2945-03, the Department is unaware of any future NESHAP Standards that may be promulgated that will affect this facility.

C. NSPS Standards

As of the date of decision of Operating Permit OP2945-03, the Department is unaware of any future NSPS Standards that may be promulgated that will affect this facility.

D. Risk Management Plan

As of the date of decision of Operating Permit OP2945-03, this facility does not have any substance listed in 40 CFR 68.115 or 40 CFR 68.130, which exceeds the minimum threshold quantities. This facility is not required to submit a Risk Management Plan.

If a facility has more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process, the facility must comply with 40 CFR 68 requirements no later than three years after the date on which a regulated substance is first listed under 40 CFR 68.130; or the date on which a regulated substance is first present in more than a threshold quantity in a process, whichever is later.